

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,668	04/16/2004	Dong-Shin Jung	Q80017	8503	
23373 - 7550 - 05/14/2008 SUGHRUE MIÓN, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHE	CHEN, YI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		2142		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/825.668 JUNG ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit YI CHEN 2142 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) YI CHEN. (3) Vincent Boccio. (2) Lenny R. Jiang. (4)Christopher J. Bezak. Date of Interview: 30 April 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: For claim 1, the applicant indicated a disavowal that the network device is a software per se interpretation with respect to module. For claim 13, the applicant indicated that adding a cache (hardware) will overcome the outstanding 101 rejection. The examiner indicated that further consideration is rendered (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Vincent F. Boccio/

| Attachment to a signed Office action. |
U.S. Patert and Testeman Office |
TOL.413 (Rev. 04-03) | Interview Summary |
Paper No. 20080502

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165

Examiner's signature, if required